UNIVERSITY OF SWAZILAND

DEPARTMENT OF LAW

SUPPLEMENTARY EXAMINATION PAPER 2009

TITLE OF PAPER

LAW OF EVIDENCE

COURSE CODE

L302

TIME ALLOWED

THREE (3) HOURS

TOTAL MARKS

100

THIS PAPER IS NOT TO BE OPENED UNTIL PERMISSION HAS BEEN GRANTED BY THE INVIGILATOR

PLEASE COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS WHEN ANSWERING THIS EXAMINATION PAPER

Answer ONLY FOUR questions

- (a) Please ensure that the time you devote to each answer is proportionate to the marks allocated.
- (b) Where appropriate, reference must be made to relevant case law.

This paper consists of 6 pages

QUESTION 1 (25 marks)

With regard to hearsay evidence, the following was stated in S v Holshausen 1984 4 SA 852 (A): "Oral or written statements made by persons who are not parties and are not called as witnesses are inadmissible to prove the truth of the matters stated....".

Discuss this statement critically with specific reference to decided cases and relevant statutory provisions. (25 marks)

QUESTION 2 (25 marks)

- (a) Jabu is a journalist who has written extensively about the crime syndicates that distribute drugs in Swaziland. During a police operation, may of these criminals are arrested. Jabu is subpoenaed by the prosecution to give evidence against these criminals. He refuses to testify on the grounds that he would be forced to disclose his sources of information and thereby endanger the lives of those persons who gave him the information. With these facts in mind, answer the following questions.
- (i) May Jabu refuse to testify?
- (ii) If Jabu does eventually refuse to testify, what action may the court take? (10 marks)

- (b) Discuss the competence of a child to give evidence as a witness. (10 marks)
- (c) Name five (5) instances in which secondary evidence of a document is admissible. (5 marks)

QUESTION 3 (25 marks)

- (a) With specific reference to decided cases, discuss relevance for the purposes of the law of evidence in regard to the following:-
- (i) the meaning of the term "relevance" as it applies to the admissibility of evidence;
- (ii) the distinction between logical and legal relevance. (10 marks)
- (b) An attorney is on trial for contempt of court in that it is alleged that he was rude to the bench. With these basic facts in mind, answer the following questions.
- (i) May the prosecution lead evidence tending to show that the accused had been rude to the bench on previous occasions?
- (ii) Would your answer to (i) differ if the accused led evidence to the effect that he is always respectful to the bench? (15 marks)

QUESTION 4 (25 marks)

- (a) Discuss *Delew V Town Council of Springs 1945 TPD 128* and other related cases, in regard to the admissibility of similar-fact evidence. (10 marks)
- (b) With specific reference to decided cases, discuss the admissibility of similar-fact evidence when it is used to prove:-
- (i) identity;
- (ii) design or system (15 marks)

QUESTION 5 (25 marks)

Sabelo hires Thabo to murder his business competitor, Vuyo. Before his arrest, Thabo tells his wife about certain incidences concerning the murder of Vuyo. Sabelo is also charged with the murder of Vuyo. With these facts in mind, answer the following questions, giving reasons for each answer.

(a) The prosecution calls Thabo's wife as a witness and puts a question to her regarding Thabo's admissions to her concerning the murder of Vuyo. Without consulting Thabo's wife, Thabo's counsel objects to this question. Will this objection be upheld by the court? (5 marks)

- (b) Would your answer to (a) above remain the same, if Thabo and his wife were divorced at the time of the trial? (5 marks)
- (c) Is Thabo obliged to answer a question put to him by the prosecution regarding a charge of assault against him (i.e. Thabo), which is being still being investigated? (5 marks)
- (d) Is Thabo's wife obliged to answer the question put by the prosecution to Thabo in (c) above? (5 marks)
- (e) If called as a witness by the prosecution, would Sabelo's attorney be obliged to answer a question put to him by the prosecution regarding a document given to him by Sabelo that implicates Thabo in the murder of Vuyo? (5 marks)

QUESTION 6 (25 marks)

(a) Jabu is a journalist who has written extensively about the crime syndicates that distribute drugs in Swaziland. During a police operation, many of these criminals are arrested. Jabu is subpoenaed by the prosecution to give evidence against these criminals. He refuses to testify on the grounds that he would be forced to disclose his sources of information and thereby endanger the lives of those persons who gave him the information. With these facts in mind, answer the following questions.

- (i) May Jabu refuse to testify?
- (ii) If Jabu does eventually refuse to testify, what action may the court take? (10 marks)
 - (b) Discuss the case of Raphael Solwako Mkhonta V R 1979 1981 SLR (HC) in regard to the application of the best evidence rule in Swaziland. (10 marks)
 - (c) Discuss judicial notice of notorious facts. (5 marks)