Course Code: L406/L501 2006

Page 1 of 3

UNIVERSITY OF SWAZILAND

FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCE

DEPARTMENT OF LAW

SUPPLEMENTARY EXAMINATION PAPER, JULY, 2006

TITLE OF PAPER

PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW

COURSE CODE

L 406/1501

:

:

TIME ALLOWED

THREE (3) HOURS

INSTRUCTIONS

1. ANSWER ANY FIVE (5) QUESTIONS. MARKS ALLOCATED TO A QUESTION INDICATE THE TIME TO BE SPENT ON THE ANSWER.

2. REFER THROUGHOUT TO LEGAL AUTHORITY TO SUBSTANTIATE YOUR STATEMENTS.

DO NOT OPEN THIS PAPER UNTIL PERMISSION HAS BEEN GRANTED BY THE INVIGILATOR.

Course Code: L406/L501 2006

QUESTION 1 (ANSWER EITHER A OR B)

- A It is often said that public international law is not really law. Present an argument either supporting or refuting this statement. [20]
- Although traditionally international legal personality has been restricted to states, this is no longer the case in modern-day public international law. *Discuss critically*. [20]

QUESTION 2 (ANSWER EITHER A OR B)

- A (i) Discuss custom as a source of public international law (10)
 - (ii) Contrast the requirements set for custom with those for:
 - (a) General principles of law recognised by civilised nations (5)
 - (b) jus cogens (5

[20]

- **B** (i) Define a treaty and evaluate the importance of treaties as a source of modern international law. (10)
 - (ii) Discuss the making of treaties with specific reference to:
 - (a) Swazi constitutional measures in this context (5)
 - (b) Ratification of a treaty

(5)

[20]

QUESTION 3

Discuss collective recognition and non-recognition of an entity as a state.

[20]

QUESTION 4

As a result of the 1967 Six Day War, Israel annexed East Jerusalem and the Golan Heights territory which had previously been under Palestinian control. When challenged, Israel responded that its actions were valid under international law as it had been acting in self-defence.

Write an essay on this statement, also paying attention to the following issues:

- (i) The validity of the Israeli annexation;
- (ii) The basis for and validity of Israel's claim that its actions were in self-defence and therefore justified in terms of public international law;
- (iii) Whether it would have made any difference if Palestine had ceded the territory to Israel?

[20]

Course Code: L406/L501 2006

QUESTION 5

Mrs S, a Swazi national, was held without trial in Botswana for several months, in a small cell, together with twenty other prisoners, both male and female. Ventilation was poor, sanitary and ablution arrangements primitive, food scarce and coarse, and she was denied any physical exercise. When Swaziland approached Botswana to complain about the treatment of Mrs S, Botswana responded that as a prisoner Mrs S was treated in the same way as her fellow Botswana prisoners.

A Swaziland foreign affairs officials approaches You, a public international law expert, for a legal opinion on this matter.
[20]

QUESTION 6

(i) When sailing on the high seas, the vessel Morning Star (registered in Liberia) collided with a ship Early Dawn (registered in Turkey). The Early Dawn sinks with considerable loss of life. The Morning Star picks up survivors, including the Early Dawn's Captain. The Morning Star sails to Liberia where the Captain is put on trial for culpable homicide. Turkey objects to Liberia exercising jurisdiction, because the collision did not take place in its territory.

You are asked by the Liberian government to furnish a *legal opinion* on whether Liberia has jurisdiction in this case. (10)

(ii) Write a *brief note* on the role of the Security Council of the United Nations in the maintenance of international peace and security. (10) [20]

END OF PAPER