UNIVERSITY OF SWAZILAND INSTITUTE OF DISTANCE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT OF LAW SUPPLEMENTARY EXAMINATION PAPER 2006

TITLE OF PAPER

LAW OF EVIDENCE

COURSE CODE

DL 031

TIME ALLOWED

THREE (3) HOURS

INSTRUCTIONS

1. ANSWER FOUR(4)QUESTIONS OF YOUR CHOICE

- 2. READ AND UNDERSTAND WHAT THE QUESTIONS REQUIRE OF YOU
- 3. YOUR ANSWER SHOULD BE WELL ORGANIZED
- 4. ALL QUESTIONS CARRY EQUAL MARKS.

THIS PAPER SHOULD NOT BE OPENED UNTIL PERMISSION HAS BEEN GIVEN BY THE INVIGILATOR.

UNIVERSITY OF SWAZILAND INSTITUTE OF DISTANCE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT OF LAW SUPPLEMENTARY EXAMINATION PAPER JULY 2006

QUESTION 1

The general rule is that evidence of bad character of the accused is not admissible before conviction.

Discuss with illustrations the exceptions to this general rule which enables the prosecution to cross examine the accused on or to lead evidence of the accused person's bad character. [25 MARKS]

QUESTION 2.

- (a) Define similar fact evidence [10 marks]
- (b) Discuss the exceptions to the rule against the admissibility of similar fact evidence. Illustrate with decided cases [15 marks]

[25 MARKS]

QUESTION 3.

Pillay was charged with and convicted of robbery by the Mbabane Magistrate's court.

Two years later <u>Swazi Times Magazine</u> published a report about Pillay, describing him as "a robber and exconvict". Pillay brought a civil suit against <u>Swazi Times Magazine</u> claiming damages for defamation. The attorney for the defendant (Magazine) sought to introduce into evidence the Magistrates Court criminal record wherein Pillay was convicted of robbery.

Discuss fully whether such evidence is admissible

[25 MARKS]

QUESTION 4.

- (a) What is meant by hearsay evidence? [10 marks]
- (b) Discuss the common law exception to the hearsay rule with regard to dying declarations? [15 marks]

[25 MARKS]

2/...

QUESTION 5.

- (a) What is "a trial within" a trial? (10 marks)
- (b) X confesses to the murder of Y. The Magistrate duly records X's confession. What are the requirements for the admissibility of X's confession into evidence. Discuss fully? [15 marks]

[25 MARKS]

QUESTION 6.

Distinguish between competency, compellability and privilege with regard to witnesses and give examples to illustrate. [25 MARKS]